## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- a. Group I, claim(s) 1-49, drawn to semiconductor device including physical variable to be determined by the semiconductor sensor element on or under the homogeneous semiconductor layer.
- b. Group II, claim(s) 50-52, drawn to measurement method having two electrical conducting contacts on or under the homogeneous semiconductor layer.
- c. Group III, claim(s) 53-70, drawn to method of making a semiconductor device having semiconductor layer sequence being masked.
- d. Group IV, claim(s) 71-72, drawn to method of using a semiconductor device in the temperature, pressure, force, deflection and/or acceleration.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature of one group does not require in the other group as explained above or vice versa; thus the claimed invention is lack of unity.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

Page 3

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- e. Fig. 1a(A)
- f. Fig. 1a(B)
- g. Fig. 1a(C)
- h. Fig. 1a(D)
- i. Fig. 1a(E)
- j. Fig. 1a(F)
- k. Fig. 1a(G)
- I. Fig. 2a
- m. Fig. 2b
- n. Fig. 2c
- o. Fig. 2d
- p. Fig. 2e
- q. Fig. 2f
- r. Fig. 2g
- s. Fig. 2h
- t. Fig. 3a
- u. Fig. 4
- v. Fig. 5

Application/Control Number: 10/549,438 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

w. Fig. 6

x. Fig. 7

y. Fig. 8al

z. Fig. 8all

aa. Fig. 8b

bb. Fig. 8c

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect *a single species* to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) is (are) generic: claim 1.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different embodiments as depicted in the figures above.

Art Unit: 2814

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,438 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

13 Nov. 2007

/Thao X Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814